

COURT OF APPEALS OF MARYLAND CORRECTION NOTICE

June 9, 2021

Re: Clear Channel Outdoor, Inc. v. Director, Department of Finance of Baltimore

City

No. 9, September Term, 2020

Majority Opinion by McDonald, J.

On pg. 23, in footnote 16, the first line, NOW READS:

¹⁶ Clear Channel argues that even, if the Ordinance is not subject to strict scrutiny,

SHOULD READ:

¹⁶ Clear Channel argues that even if the Ordinance is not subject to strict scrutiny,

/s/ Suzanne C. Johnson
Suzanne C. Johnson
Clerk of the Court of Appeals